



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/723,168

11/26/2003

Larry Eugene West

BROAD.028A

5738

20995 7590 02/21/2008
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER

BOWERS, NATHAN ANDREW

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1797

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/21/2008

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/723,168	Applicant(s) WEST, LARRY EUGENE	
	Examiner NATHAN A. BOWERS	Art Unit 1797	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nathan Bowers. (3)_____.

(2) Gregory Hermanson. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 07 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Irwin and Cannon.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant suggested revising the independent claims in order to more fully describe the physical construction of the utility tower. It appears that an amendment of this nature would overcome the currently applied rejections because they would distinguish the utility tower from the data transmitter disclosed by Cannon. An additional search and consideration would be necessary to evaluate the new claim limitations.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Gladys Corcoran/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, 1797

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required